arXiv:math/0606289v2 [math.AG] 19 Jun 2006

On correspondences of a K3 surface with itself. IV

C.G.Madonna [*] and Viacheslav V.Nikulin [†]

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let X be a K3 surface with a polarization H of the degree $H^2 = 2rs$, $r, s \geq 1$, and the isotropic Mukai vector $v = (r, H, s)$ is primitive. Moduli space of sheaves over X with the isotropic Mukai vector (r, H, s) is again a K3 surface, Y. In [12] second author gave necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of Picard lattice $N(X)$ of X when Y is isomorphic to X (important particular cases were considered in [2], [3] and [11]).

Here we show that these conditions imply existence of an isomorphism between Y and X which is a composition of some universal geometric isomorphisms between moduli of sheaves over X, and geometric Tyurin's isomorphism between some moduli of sheaves over X and X itself. It follows that for a general K3 surface X with $\rho(X) = \mathrm{rk}\ N(X) \leq 2$ one has $Y \cong X$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $Y \cong X$ which is a composition of the universal and the Tyurin's isomorphisms.

1 Introduction

Let X be a K3 surface over $\mathbf{C}$ with a polarization H of the degree $H^2 = 2rs$ where $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that the isotropic Mukai vector $v = (r, H, s)$ is primitive. We denote by $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ the moduli space of stable rank r sheaves over X with first Chern class H and Euler characteristic $r + s$ (i. e. with the Mukai vector v). By results of Mukai [6], [7], Y is again a K3 surface.

In ([12], Theorem 4.4), second author gave some sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of Picard lattice $N(X)$ of X when $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ is isomorphic to X. See Theorem 2.1 in Sect. 2 for the exact formulation. Important particular cases of the theorem were also obtained in [2], [3] and [11]. The sufficient part of these results used global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13] and was not effective.

Here we show that sufficient conditions of ([12], Theorem 4.4), see Theorem 2.1, imply that there exists an isomorphism $Y \cong X$ which is the composition

---

[*] Supported by I3P contract

[†] Supported by EPSRC grant EP/D061997/1

of some universal geometric isomorphisms between moduli of sheaves over X (see their exact definitions in Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.1), and Tyurin's isomorphism between some moduli of sheaves over X and X itself (see Lemma 3.3). The exact statement is given in Theorem 3.2.

Sufficient conditions of ([12], Theorem 4.4), see Theorem 2.1, are also necessary for a general K3 surface X with Picard number $\rho(X) = \text{rk } N(X) \leq 2$. Thus, the preceding result implies that for a general K3 surface X with $\rho(X) \leq 2$ one has that $Y \cong X$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $Y \cong X$ which is a composition of the universal and Tyurin's isomorphisms. See Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.1 for the exact formulations.

These results show that the universal and Tyurin's isomorphisms above are universally important in this problem (When is $Y \cong X$?). They are sufficient to solve this problem for all general (for their Picard lattice) K3 surfaces X with $\rho(X) \leq 2$. Similar particular results were obtained in [4] (geometric interpretation of [2]) and [5] (geometric interpretation of [11]).

2 Reminding of the main result of [12]

We denote by X an algebraic K3 surface over the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers. I.e. X is a non-singular projective algebraic surface over $\mathbf{C}$ with the trivial canonical class $K_X = 0$ and the vanishing irregularity $q(X) = 0$.

We denote by $N(X)$ the Picard lattice (i.e. the lattice of 2-dimensional algebraic cycles) of X. By $\rho(X) = \text{rk } N(X)$ we denote the Picard number of X. By

$$T(X) = N(X)^{\perp}_{H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})} \tag{2.1}$$

we denote the transcendental lattice of X.

For a Mukai vector $v = (r, c_1, s)$ where $r \in \mathbf{N}$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $c_1 \in N(X)$, we denote by $Y = M_X(r, c_1, s)$ the moduli space of stable (with respect to some ample $H' \in N(X)$) rank r coherent sheaves on X with first Chern class c_1, and Euler characteristic $r + s$.

By results of Mukai [6], [7], under suitable conditions on the Chern classes, the moduli space Y is always deformations equivalent to a Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional cycles on X (of some dimension).

The general common divisor of the Mukai vector v is

$$(r, c_1, s) = (r, d, s)$$

if $c_1 = dc_1'$ where $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, $d \geq 0$ and c_1' is primitive in the Picard lattice $N(X)$ which is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module. Here $c_1' \in N(X)$ is *primitive* means that $c_1'/n \notin N(X)$ for any natural $n \geq 2$. A Mukai vector v is called *primitive* if its general common divisor is one.

Let X be a K3 surface with a polarization H of the degree $H^2 = 2rs$ where $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that the isotropic Mukai vector $v = (r, H, s)$ is primitive. Then $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ is another K3 surface. We are interested in the case when $Y \cong X$.

We denote $c=(r,s)$ and $a=r/c$, $b=s/c$. Then $(a,b)=1$. Let H be divisible by $d\in\mathbf{N}$ where $\widetilde{H}=H/d$ is primitive in $N(X)$. Primitivity of $v=(r,H,s)$ means that $(r,d,s)=(c,d)=1$. Since $\widetilde{H}^2=2abc^2/d^2$ is even, we have $d^2|abc^2$. Since $(a,b)=(c,d)=1$, it follows that $d=d_ad_b$ where $d_a=(d,a)$, $d_b=(d,b)$, and we can introduce integers

$$a_1=\frac{a}{d_a^2},\ \ b_1=\frac{b}{d_b^2}\,.$$

Then we obtain that $\widetilde{H}^2=2a_1b_1c^2$.

Let $\gamma=\gamma(\widetilde{H})$ is defined by $\widetilde{H}\cdot N(X)=\gamma\mathbf{Z}$, i.e. $H\cdot N(X)=\gamma d\mathbf{Z}$. Clearly, $\gamma|\widetilde{H}^2=2a_1b_1c^2$.

We denote

$$n(v)=(r,s,d\gamma)=(r,s,\gamma). \tag{2.2}$$

By Mukai [6]—[8], $T(X)\subset T(Y)$, and $n(v)=[T(Y):T(X)]$ where $T(X)$ and $T(Y)$ are transcendental lattices of X and Y. Thus,

$$Y\cong X\ \ \Longrightarrow\ \ n(v)=(r,s,d\gamma)=(c,d\gamma)=(c,\gamma)=1. \tag{2.3}$$

Assuming that $Y\cong X$ and then $n(v)=1$, we have $\gamma|2a_1b_1$, and we can introduce

$$\gamma_a=(\gamma,a_1),\ \gamma_b=(\gamma,b_1),\ \gamma_2=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_a\gamma_b}. \tag{2.4}$$

Clearly, $\gamma_2|2$.

In ([12], Theorem 4.4) the following general theorem had been obtained (see its important particular cases in [2], [3] and [11]). In the theorem, we use notations c, a, b, d, d_a, d_b, a_1, b_1 introduced above. The same notations γ, γ_a, γ_b and γ_2 as above are used if one replaces $N(X)$ by a 2-dimensional primitive sublattice $N\subset N(X)$, e. g. $\widetilde{H}\cdot N=\gamma\mathbf{Z}$, $\gamma>0$. We denote $\det N=-\gamma\delta$ and $\mathbf{Z}f(\widetilde{H})$ denotes the orthogonal complement to $\widetilde{H}$ in N.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a K3 surface and H a polarization of X such that $H^2=2rs$ where $r,s\in\mathbf{N}$. Assume that the Mukai vector (r,H,s) is primitive. Let $Y=M_X(r,H,s)$ be the K3 surface which is the moduli of sheaves over X with the isotropic Mukai vector $v=(r,H,s)$. Let $\widetilde{H}=H/d$, $d\in\mathbf{N}$, be the corresponding primitive polarization.*

We have $Y\cong X$ if there exists $\widetilde{h}_1\in N(X)$ such that $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{h}_1$ belong to a 2-dimensional primitive sublattice $N\subset N(X)$ such that $\widetilde{H}\cdot N=\gamma\mathbf{Z}$, $\gamma>0$, $(c,d\gamma)=1$, and the element $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series or to the b-series described below:

$\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series if

$$\widetilde{h}_1^2=\pm 2b_1c,\quad \widetilde{H}\cdot\widetilde{h}_1\equiv 0\mod \gamma(b_1/\gamma_b)c,\quad f(\widetilde{H})\cdot\widetilde{h}_1\equiv 0\mod \delta b_1c \tag{2.5}$$

(where $\gamma_b=(\gamma,b_1)$);

$\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the b-series if

$$\widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2a_1c, \quad \widetilde{H}\cdot\widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma(a_1/\gamma_a)c, \quad f(\widetilde{H})\cdot\widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \delta a_1 c \quad (2.6)$$

(where $\gamma_a = (\gamma, a_1)$).

These conditions are necessary to have $Y \cong X$ if $\rho(X) \le 2$ and X is a general K3 surface with its Picard lattice, i. e the automorphism group of the transcendental periods $(T(X), H^{2,0}(X))$ is ± 1.

Remark 2.1. In [12], some additional primitivity conditions on $\widetilde{h}_1$ were required in (2.5), (2.6). From the proof of Theorem 3.2 below, it will follow that they are unnecessary as sufficient conditions. They are important as necessary conditions.

The sufficient part of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [12] (and its important particular cases in [2], [3] and [11]) used global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13]. I. e., under conditions of Theorem 2.1, it was proved that the K3 surfaces Y and X have isomorphic periods. By global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13], then Y and X are isomorphic. In Sect. 3 below we will give a geometric construction of the isomorphism between Y and X. This will be the main result of the paper.

In [4] and [5] similar geometric construction was given in particular cases of Theorem 2.1 obtained in [2] and [11] respectively.

3 Geometric interpretation of Theorem 2.1

We use notations of the previous Section 2.

We shall use the natural isomorphisms between moduli of sheaves over a K3 surface X.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $v = (r, H, s)$ be a primitive isotropic Mukai vector for a K3 surface X, and $r, s \ge 1$. Then one has an isomorphism, called* **reflection***, see [14], [15],*

$$\delta : M_X(r, H, s) \cong M_X(s, H, r).$$

In ([14], (4.11)) and ([15], Lemma 3.4), the geometric construction of the reflection δ is given under the condition that $M_X(r, H, s)$ contains a regular bundle (it is valid even if the Mukai vector $v = (r, H, s)$ is not isotropic). On the other hand, by global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13], existence of such isomorphism for isotropic primitive v is obvious. See similar proof of Theorem 3.1 below.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (r, H, s) be a Mukai vector for a K3 surface X and $D \in N(X)$. Then one has the natural isomorphism given by the tensor product*

$$T_D : M_X(r, H, s) \cong M_X(r, H + rD, s + r(D^2/2) + D\cdot H), \quad \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}\otimes \mathcal{O}(D).$$

Moreover, here Mukai vectors

$$v = (r, H, s), \quad v_1 = (r, H + rD, s + r(D^2/2) + D\cdot H)$$

have the same general common divisor and the same square under Mukai pairing. In particular, they are primitive and isotropic simultaneously.

We also use the isomorphisms between moduli of sheaves over a K3 surface and the K3 surface itself found by A.N. Tyurin in ([15], Lemma 3.3).

Lemma 3.3. *For a K3 surface X and $h_1 \in N(X)$ such that $\pm h_1^2 > 0$ and*

$$h^0\mathcal{O}(h_1) = h^0\mathcal{O}(-h_1) = 0 \ if \ h_1^2 < 0, \tag{3.1}$$

there is a geometric Tyurin's isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm h_1) : M_X(\pm h_1^2/2, h_1, \pm 1) \cong X.$$

Like in Theorem 3.1 below, using global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13], one can show that even without Tyurin's condition (3.1) always there exists some isomorphism

$$M_X(\pm h_1^2/2, h_1, \pm 1) \cong X.$$

We call such isomorphisms as *Tyurin's isomorphisms* either. When h_1 also satisfies (3.1), there exists a direct Tyurin's geometric construction of some isomorphism $M_X(\pm h_1^2/2, h_1, \pm 1) \cong X$.

We shall use the following result which had been proved implicitly in [12]. See Sect. 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.3.3 in [12]. In [12] much more difficult results related to arbitrary Picard lattice had been considered, and respectively the proofs were long and difficult. Therefore, below we also give a much simpler proof of this result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $v = (r, H, s)$ be an isotropic Mukai vector on a K3 surface X where $r,\ s \in \mathbf{N}$, $H \in N(X)$, $H^2 = 2rs$, and H is primitive (then v is also primitive).*

Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(d_1, s) = (d_2, r) = (d_1, d_2) = 1$.

Then the Mukai vector $v_1 = (d_1^2 r, d_1 d_2 H, d_2^2 s)$ is also primitive and isotropic, and there exists a natural isomorphism of moduli of sheaves

$$\nu(d_1, d_2) : M_X(r, H, s) \cong M_X(d_1^2 r, d_1 d_2 H, d_2^2 s).$$

Proof. Let us consider the case $(d_1, d_2) = (d, 1)$ where $(d, s) = 1$ (general case is similar).

Let $c = (r, s)$ and $a = r/c$, $b = s/c$. Then $v = (ac, H, bc)$ where $H^2 = 2abc^2$ and H is primitive. By global Torelli Theorem [13], it is enough to show that periods of $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ and $Y_1 = M_X(d^2 r, dH, s)$ are isomorphic.

By results of Mukai [6], [7], cohomology of Y (and similarly of Y_1) are equal to

$$H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}) = v^\perp / \mathbf{Z}v$$

where we consider v as the element of Mukai lattice $H^*(X,\mathbf{Z})$, and $H^{2,0}(Y)$ is the projection of $H^{2,0}(X)$.

Using the variant of Witt's Theorem from [13], we can model the necessary calculations as follows.

Let $U^{(1)}$ be an even unimodular hyperbolic plane with the basis $e_1,\ e_2$ where $e_1^2=e_2^2=0$ and $e_1\cdot e_2=-1$. Let $U^{(2)}$ be another even unimodular hyperbolic plane with the bases $f_1,\ f_2$ where $f_1^2=f_2^2=0$ and $f_1\cdot f_2=1$. We consider the orthogonal sum $U^{(1)}\oplus U^{(2)}$ (the model of $H^*(X,\mathbf{Z})$; to get $H^*(X,\mathbf{Z})$, one should add to $U^{(1)}\oplus U^{(2)}$ an unimodular even lattice of signature $(2,18)$ which is the same for all three X, Y and Y_1). Then

$$v=ace_1+bce_2+H,\quad H=abc^2f_1+f_2,$$

thus

$$v=ace_1+bce_2+abc^2f_1+f_2.$$

Then $N(X)=\mathbf{Z}H$ models the Picard lattice of X and $T(X)=\mathbf{Z}t$, $t=-abc^2f_1+f_2$ models the transcendental lattice of X.

We have $\xi=x\,e_1+y\,e_2+z\,f_1+w\,f_2\in v^\perp$ if and only if $-bcx-acy+z+abc^2w=0$, equivalently $z=bcx+acy-abc^2w$ where $x,y,w,z\in\mathbf{Z}$. Thus,

$$\xi=x(e_1+bc\,f_1)+y(e_2+ac\,f_1)+w(-abc^2\,f_1+f_2)$$

and $\alpha=e_1+bc\,f_1$, $\beta=e_2+ac\,f_1$, $t=-abc^2\,f_1+f_2$ give the basis of $v^\perp$. We have $v=ac\,\alpha+bc\,\beta+t$ and

$$ac\,\alpha \bmod \mathbf{Z}v+bc\,\beta \bmod \mathbf{Z}v+t \bmod \mathbf{Z}v=0.$$

It follows that $\overline{\alpha}=\alpha \bmod \mathbf{Z}v$, $\overline{\beta}=\beta \bmod \mathbf{Z}v$ give a basis of $v^\perp/\mathbf{Z}v$ which models $H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})$. We have $\overline{\alpha}^2=\overline{\beta}^2=0$ and $\overline{\alpha}\cdot\overline{\beta}=-1$. Thus $v^\perp/\mathbf{Z}v\cong U$. We see that $\overline{t}=t \bmod \mathbf{Z}v=-ac\overline{\alpha}-bc\overline{\beta}$ and then $\widetilde{t}=\overline{t}/c=-a\overline{\alpha}-b\overline{\beta}\in(v^\perp/\mathbf{Z}v)$, and $\mathbf{Z}\widetilde{t}$ models the transcendental lattice $T(Y)$ of Y. Its orthogonal complement $\mathbf{Z}h$ where $h=a\overline{\alpha}-b\overline{\beta}$ models the Picard lattice $N(Y)$ of Y. We have $h^2=2ab>0$.

Let us make similar calculations for Y_1. We have

$$v_1=d^2ac\,e_1+bc\,e_2+dH,\quad H=abc^2\,f_1+f_2,$$

and

$$v_1=d^2ac\,e_1+bc\,e_2+dabc^2\,f_1+d\,f_2.$$

We have $\xi_1=x_1e_1+y_1e_2+z_1f_1+w_1f_2\in v_1^\perp$ if and only if $-bcx_1-d^2acy_1+dz_1+dabc^2w_1=0$. Since $(d,bc)=1$, we obtain $x_1=d\widetilde{x}_1$, and $z_1=bc\widetilde{x}_1+dacy_1-abc^2w_1$ where $\widetilde{x}_1,y_1,w_1,z_1\in\mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$\xi_1=\widetilde{x}_1(de_1+bcf_1)+y_1(e_2+dacf_1)+w_1(-abc^2f_1+f_2)$$

and $\alpha_1=d\,e_1+bc\,f_1$, $\beta_1=e_2+dac\,f_1$, $t=-abc^2\,f_1+f_2$ give the basis of $v_1^\perp$. We have $v_1=dac\,\alpha_1+bc\,\beta_1+d\,t$, and

$$dac\,\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1+bc\,\beta_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1+d\,t \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1=0.$$

Since $(d, bc) = 1$, we see that $t \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1 = c\tilde{t}_1$, $\beta_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1 = d\tilde{\beta}_1$ where $\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \in v_1^{\perp}/\mathbf{Z}v_1$, and

$$a\,\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1 + b\tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{t}_1 = 0.$$

We have $(\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1)^2 = \tilde{\beta}_1^2 = 0$ and $(\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1) \cdot \tilde{\beta}_1 = -1$. Thus, again $\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1$, $\tilde{\beta}_1$ give canonical generators of the unimodular lattice U. Then they give a basis of $v_1^{\perp}/\mathbf{Z}v_1$ which models $H^2(Y_1)$. Moreover $\mathbf{Z}\tilde{t}_1$ where $\tilde{t}_1 = -a\,\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v - b\,\tilde{\beta}_1$ (from above), models the transcendental lattice of Y_1. Its orthogonal complement $\mathbf{Z}h_1$ where $h_1 = a\,\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v - b\,\tilde{\beta}_1$ models the Picard lattice of Y_1.

We see that our descriptions for Y and Y_1 above are evidently isomorphic if we identify $\overline{\alpha}$, $\overline{\beta}$ with $\alpha_1 \bmod \mathbf{Z}v$ and $\tilde{\beta}_1$ respectively. This shows that Y and Y_1 have isomorphic periods and are isomorphic by global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [13].

This finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.1. It would be very interesting to find a direct geometric proof of Theorem 3.1 which does not use global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces. It seems, considerations by Mukai in [8] are related to this problem (especially see Theorem 1.2 in [8]).

On the other hand, the isomorphism $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ is very universal, and it exists even for general (with Picard number one) K3 surfaces. By [9], there exists only one isomorphism (or two isomorphisms for the degree two) between algebraic K3 surfaces with Picard number one. Thus, we can consider this isomorphism as geometric by definition.

We will show below that under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, there exists an isomorphism between $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ and X which is the composition of the universal geometric isomorphisms above. We use notations of Section 2 and Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a K3 surface and H a polarization of X such that $H^2 = 2rs$ where $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that the Mukai vector (r, H, s) is primitive. Let $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ be the K3 surface which is the moduli of sheaves over X with the isotropic Mukai vector $v = (r, H, s)$. Let $\widetilde{H} = H/d$, $d \in \mathbf{N}$, be the corresponding primitive polarization.*

Assume that there exists $\widetilde{h}_1 \in N(X)$ such that $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{h}_1$ belong to a 2-dimensional primitive sublattice $N \subset N(X)$ such that $\widetilde{H} \cdot N = \gamma\mathbf{Z}$, $\gamma > 0$, $(c, d\gamma) = 1$, and the element $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series or to the b-series described below:

$\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series if

$$\widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2b_1c, \quad \widetilde{H} \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma(b_1/\gamma_b)c, \quad f(\widetilde{H}) \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \delta b_1 c \qquad (3.2)$$

(where $\gamma_b = (\gamma, b_1)$);

$\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the b-series if

$$\widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2a_1c, \quad \widetilde{H} \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma(a_1/\gamma_a)c, \quad f(\widetilde{H}) \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \delta a_1 c \qquad (3.3)$$

(where $\gamma_a = (\gamma, a_1)$).

Then we have:

If $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series, then

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + b_1cD \textit{ for some } d_2 \in \mathbf{N},\ D \in N, \tag{3.4}$$

which defines the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \delta \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X. \tag{3.5}$$

If $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the b-series, then

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + a_1cD \textit{ for some } d_2 \in \mathbf{N},\ D \in N, \tag{3.6}$$

which defines the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We use the description of the pair $\widetilde{H} \in N$ given in Proposition 3.1.1 in [12] (where one should replace $N(X)$ by N) which directly follows from rk $N = 2$, $\widetilde{H}$ is primitive in N, and $\widetilde{H} \cdot N = \gamma\mathbf{Z}$. We denote det $N = -\delta\gamma$, $\delta \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\mathbf{Z}f(\widetilde{H})$ the orthogonal complement to $\widetilde{H}$ in N. Then $f(\widetilde{H})^2 = -2a_1b_1c^2\delta/\gamma$.

For some $\mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/(2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma))^*$ ($\pm\mu$ is the invariant of the pair $\widetilde{H} \in N$) where $\delta \equiv \mu^2\gamma \mod 4a_1b_1c^2/\gamma$, one has

$$N = [\widetilde{H}, f(\widetilde{H}), w = \frac{\mu\widetilde{H} + f(\widetilde{H})}{2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma}], \tag{3.8}$$

where [$\cdot$] means 'generated by $\cdot$ ".

It follows that

$$N = \{z = \frac{x\widetilde{H} + yf(\widetilde{H})}{2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma} \mid x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } x \equiv \mu y \mod \frac{2a_1b_1c^2}{\gamma}\} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$z^2 = \frac{\gamma x^2 - \delta y^2}{2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma}\ . \tag{3.10}$$

In the conditions of Theorem 3.1, let us assume that $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the a-series. Then $\widetilde{h}_1 = (p\widetilde{H} + qf(\widetilde{H}))/(2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma)$ where $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$p \equiv \mu q \mod \frac{2a_1b_1c^2}{\gamma}\ . \tag{3.11}$$

By the condition of a-series, $\widetilde{H} \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 = \gamma p \equiv 0 \mod \gamma(b_1/\gamma_b)c$. Equivalently, $p \equiv 0 \mod (b_1/\gamma_b)c$. By (3.11), then $q \equiv 0 \mod (b_1/\gamma_b)c$. Denoting $p = p_1(b_1/\gamma_b)c$ and $q = q_1(b_1/\gamma_b)c$ where $p_1,\ q_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$, we get that

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = \frac{p_1\widetilde{H} + q_1f(\widetilde{H})}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c}$$

where $p_1 \equiv \mu q_1 \mod (2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c$.

We have $f(\widetilde{H}) = (2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma)\, w - \mu\widetilde{H}$ where $w \in N$. Then

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = \frac{p_1\widetilde{H} + q_1((2a_1b_1c^2/\gamma)w - \mu\widetilde{H})}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c} = \frac{p_1 - \mu q_1}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c}\widetilde{H} + q_1(b_1/\gamma_b)c\, w\,. \tag{3.12}$$

By the condition of a-series,

$$f(\widetilde{H}) \cdot \widetilde{h}_1 = -q_1(b_1/\gamma_b)\delta\, c \equiv 0 \mod \delta b_1 c,$$

and we then get

$$q_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma_b.$$

Since μ is defined $\mod (2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)(b_1/\gamma_b)c^2$ and $q_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma_b$, then $p_1 - \mu q_1 \mod (2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)b_1c^2$ is correctly defined. Since $p_1 - \mu q_1 \equiv 0 \mod (2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c$, then

$$\frac{p_1 - \mu q_1}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c} \mod b_1 c$$

is correctly defined. Since $q_1 \equiv 0 \mod \gamma_b$, we obtain from (3.12) a correct congruence

$$\widetilde{h}_1 \equiv \frac{p_1 - \mu q_1}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c}\widetilde{H} \mod b_1 c N\,. \tag{3.13}$$

There exists $d_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$d_2 \equiv \frac{p_1 - \mu q_1}{(2/\gamma_2)(a_1/\gamma_a)c} \mod b_1 c\,. \tag{3.14}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + b_1cD,\ d_2 \in \mathbf{N},\ D \in N. \tag{3.15}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have an isomorphism

$$\nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(ac, H, bc) \cong M_X(a_1c, \widetilde{H}, b_1c)$$

(this is one of the first steps of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [12]). By Lemma 3.1, we have an isomorphism

$$\delta : M_X(a_1c, \widetilde{H}, b_1c) \to M_X(b_1c, \widetilde{H}, a_1c).$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (3.15), we obtain

$$T_D : (b_1c, d_2\widetilde{H}, d_2^2a_1c) \to (b_1c, \widetilde{h}_1, \pm 1)$$

since $(b_1c, d_2\widetilde{H}, d_2^2a_1c)$ is isotropic Mukai vector and $\widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2b_1c$. Since $(b_1c, \widetilde{h}_1, \pm 1)$ is evidently primitive, $(b_1c, d_2\widetilde{H}, d_2^2a_1c)$ is also primitive, and then $(d_2, b_1c) = 1$. By Theorem 3.1, then we have an isomorphism

$$\nu(1, d_2) : M_X(b_1c, \widetilde{H}, a_1c) \cong M_X(b_1c, d_2\widetilde{H}, d_2^2a_1c),$$

and by Lemma 3.2, an isomorphism

$$T_D : M_X(b_1c, d_2\widetilde{H}, d_2^2a_1c) \cong M_X(b_1c, \widetilde{h}_1, \pm 1).$$

At last, by Lemma 3.3, we have an isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) : M_X(b_1c, \widetilde{h}_1, \pm 1) \cong X.$$

Thus, we obtain the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \delta \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X. \tag{3.16}$$

If $\widetilde{h}_1$ belongs to the b-series, similarly we obtain

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + a_1cD,\ d_2 \in \mathbf{N},\ D \in N, \tag{3.17}$$

and we get the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X. \tag{3.18}$$

We don't need the reflection δ in this case.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 3.2. Since conditions of Theorem 3.2 have given an isomorphism $Y \cong X$, all other conditions of Theorem 4.4 in [12] are unnecessary as sufficient conditions. They are important as necessary conditions.

Since conditions of Theorem 3.2 are also necessary for a general K3 surface X with $\rho(X) \leq 2$ to have $Y \cong X$ (see Theorem 2.1), we also obtain a very interesting

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a K3 surface with a polarization H such that $H^2 = 2rs$, $r, s \geq 1$, the Mukai vector (r, H, s) be primitive, and $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ be the moduli of sheaves over X with the isotropic Mukai vector (r, H, s). Assume that $\rho(X) \leq 2$ and X is general with its Picard lattice (i. e. the automorphism group of the transcendental periods $Aut(T(X), H^{2,0}(X)) = \pm 1$). Let $\widetilde{H} = H/d$, $d \in \mathbf{N}$, be the corresponding primitive polarization.*

Then $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ is isomorphic to X if and only if there exists $d_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $D \in N(X)$ such that

either

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + b_1cD \text{ has } \widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2b_1c, \tag{3.19}$$

which defines the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \delta \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X, \tag{3.20}$$

or

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = d_2\widetilde{H} + a_1cD \text{ has } \widetilde{h}_1^2 = \pm 2a_1c, \tag{3.21}$$

which defines the isomorphism

$$Tyu(\pm\widetilde{h}_1) \cdot T_D \cdot \nu(1, d_2) \cdot \nu(d_a, d_b)^{-1} : Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X. \tag{3.22}$$

Theorem 3.3 gives an isomorphism between Y and X as an exact composition of the universal isomorphisms δ, T_D, $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ and Tyu. Forgetting about the exact form of the composition, evidently we also obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let X be a K3 surface with a polarization H such that $H^2 = 2rs$, $r, s \geq 1$, the Mukai vector (r, H, s) be primitive, and $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ be the moduli of sheaves over X with the isotropic Mukai vector (r, H, s). Assume that $\rho(X) \leq 2$ and X is general with its Picard lattice (i. e. the automorphism group of the transcendental periods $Aut(T(X), H^{2,0}(X)) = \pm 1$).*

Then $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ is isomorphic to X if and only if there exists an isomorphism between $Y = M_X(r, H, s)$ and X which is a composition of the universal isomorphisms δ, $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ and T_D between moduli of sheaves over X, and the universal Tyurin's isomorphism Tyu between a moduli of sheaves over X and X itself.

Here it is important that the isomorphisms δ, T_D and Tyu have a geometric description which does not use Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces. Only for the isomorphism $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ we don't know a geometric construction. On the other hand, the isomorphism $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ is very universal and is geometric by definition. See our considerations at the beginning of this Section.

These results also show that Tyurin's isomorphisms Tyu and δ, T_D, $\nu(d_1, d_2)$ as well, are universally important in the problem (When is $Y = M_X(r, H, s) \cong X$?) which we had considered. They are sufficient to solve this problem for all general (for their Picard lattice) K3 surfaces X with $\rho(X) \leq 2$.

References

[1] C.G. Madonna, *On some moduli spaces of bundles on K3 surfaces*, Monatsh. Math. **146**(2005), 333–339.

[2] C. Madonna and V.V. Nikulin, *On a classical correspondence between K3 surfaces*, Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. **241**(2003), 120–153; (see also math.AG/0206158).

[3] C. Madonna and V.V. Nikulin, *On a classical correspondence between K3 surfaces II*, in: Clay Mathematics Proceedings, Vol. 3 (Strings and Geometry), Douglas M., Gauntlett J., Gross M. editors, 2004, pp.285–300; (see also math.AG/0304415).

[4] C.G. Madonna and V.V. Nikulin, *On a classical correspondence between K3 surfaces III*, Preprint math.AG/0605362, (2006), 7 pages.

[5] C.G. Madonna and V.V. Nikulin, *On correspondences of a K3 surface with itself. III*, Preprint math.AG/0606239, (2006), 12 pages.

[6] Sh. Mukai, *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I*, in: Vector bundles on algebraic varieties, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math. no. **11** (1987), 341–413.

[7] Sh. Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an Abelian or K3 surface*, Inv. Math. **77** (1984), 101–116.

[8] Sh. Mukai, *Duality of polarized K3 surfaces*, Hulek K. (ed.) New trends in algebraic geometry. Selected papers presented at the Euro conference, Warwick, UK, July 1996, Cambridge University Press. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. **264**, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 311–326.

[9] V.V. Nikulin, *Finite automorphism groups of Kählerian surfaces of type K3*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **38** (1980), 103–167.

[10] V.V. Nikulin, *On correspondences between K3 surfaces*, Math. USSR Izv. **30** (1988), no.2, 375–383.

[11] V.V. Nikulin, *On correspondences of a K3 surface with itself. I*, Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. **246** (2004), 204–226 (see also math.AG/0307355).

[12] V.V. Nikulin, *On Correspondences of a K3 surfaces with itself. II*, Contemporary mathematics (2006), to appear (see also math. AG/0309348, (2003), 62 pages).

[13] I.I. Pjatetskiĭ-Šapiro and I.R. Šafarevich, *A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3*, Math. USSR Izv. **5** (1971), no. 3, 547–588.

[14] A.N. Tyurin, *Cycles, curves and vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 1, 1–26.

[15] A.N. Tyurin, *Special 0-cycles on a polarized K3 surface*, Math. USSR Izv. **30** (1988), no. 1, 123–143.

[16] A.N. Tyurin, *Symplectic structures on the varieties of moduli of vector bundles on algebraic surfaces with* $p_g > 0$, Math. USSR Izv. **33** (1989), no. 1, 139–177.

C.G.Madonna
Math. Dept., CSIC, C/ Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, SPAIN
carlo@madonna.rm.it cgm@imaff.cfmac.csic.es

V.V. Nikulin
Deptm. of Pure Mathem. The University of Liverpool, Liverpool
L69 3BX, UK;
Steklov Mathematical Institute,
ul. Gubkina 8, Moscow 117966, GSP-1, Russia
vnikulin@liv.ac.uk vvnikulin@list.ru